TOPOLOGICALLY INDEPENDENT SETS IN PRECOMPACT GROUPS

JAN SPĚVÁK

Abstract. It is a simple fact that a subgroup generated by a subset A of an abelian group is the direct sum of the cyclic groups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$ if and only if the set A is independent. In [5] the concept of an *independent* set in an abelian group was generalized to a *topologically independent set* in a topological abelian group (these two notions coincide in discrete abelian groups). It was proved that a topological subgroup generated by a subset A of an abelian topological group is the Tychonoff direct sum of the cyclic topological groups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$ if and only if the set A is topologically independent and absolutely Cauchy summable. Further, it was shown, that the assumption of absolute Cauchy summability of A can not be removed in general in this result. In our paper we show that it can be removed in precompact groups.

In other words, we prove that if A is a subset of a *precompact* abelian group, then the topological subgroup generated by A is the Tychonoff direct sum of the topological cyclic subgroups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$ if and only if A is topologically independent. We show that precompactness can not be replaced by local compactness in this result.

arXiv:1703.04102v1 [math.GN] 12 Mar 2017

All groups in this paper are assumed to be abelian and all topological groups are assumed to be Hausdorff. A topological group is *precompact* if it is a topological subgroup of a compact group. As usually, the symbols $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ stay for the sets of natural numbers and integers respectively.

Given an abelian group G, by 0_G we denote the zero element of G, and the subscript is omitted when there is no danger of confusion. Given a subset A of G, the symbol $\langle A\rangle$ stays for the subgroup of G generated by A. For $a \in G$, we use the symbol $\langle a\rangle$ to denote $\langle\{a\}\rangle$. Following [5], the symbol S_A stays for the direct sum

$$S_A = \bigoplus_{a\in A}\langle a\rangle,$$

and by $\mathcal{K}_A$ we denote the unique group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to G$$

which extends each natural inclusion map $\langle a\rangle \to G$ for $a \in A$. As in [5], we call the map $\mathcal{K}_A$ the *Kalton map associated with* A.

We say that $\langle A\rangle$ *is the direct sum of cyclic groups* $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$ provided that the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A$ is an isomorphic embedding. When G is a topological group, we always consider $\langle a\rangle$ with the subgroup topology inherited from G and S_A with the subgroup topology inherited from the Tychonoff product $\prod_{a\in A}\langle a\rangle$). Finally, we say that $\langle A\rangle$ *is a Tychonoff direct sum of cyclic groups* $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$ if the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A$ is at the same time an isomorphic embedding and a homeomorphic embedding.

1. Introduction

The concept of compactness allows to transfer some purely non-topological issues into the realm of topology. A nice example of this phenomenon is the paper of Nagao and Shakhmatov (see [11]), where the classical, purely combinatorial result of Landau on the existence of kings in finite tournaments, where finite tournament means a finite directed complete graph, is generalized by means of continuous weak selections to continuous tournaments for which the set of players is a compact Hausdorff space. In our paper we provide another example of this phenomenon which non-trivially transfers a result from the area of abelian groups to the realm of precompact abelian groups.

Recall that a subset A of nonzero elements of a group G is *independent* provided that for every finite set $B \subset A$ and every $|B|$-tuple $\{z_a : a \in B\}$ of integers the equality $\sum_{a\in B} z_a a = 0$ implies $z_a a = 0$ for all $a \in B$.

Similarly, a subset A of nonzero elements of a topological group G is *topologically independent* (see [5, Definition 4.1]) provided that for every neighborhood W of 0_G there exists neighborhood U of 0_G such that for every finite set $B \subset A$ and every $|B|$-tuple $\{z_a : a \in B\}$ of integers the inclusion $\sum_{a\in B} z_a a \in U$ implies $z_a a \in W$ for all $a \in B$. This neighborhood U is called a *W-witness* of the topological independence of A.

One can readily verify that in (Hausdorff) topological groups every topologically independent set is independent (see [5, Lemma 4.2]) and that these two notions coincide in discrete groups. Thus topological

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22C05; Secondary 20K25.

Key words and phrases. Topological group, precompact group, infinite direct sum, topologically independent set, absolutely Cauchy summable set.

independence can be viewed as a natural generalization of independence. Let us note that a different notion of a topologically linearly independent set in a topological vector space was introduced in [7], later on it was studied under the names linearly topologically independent in [8, 9], ω-independent in [10] and ω-linearly independent in [14].

Let us recall a basic and simple fact about independent sets.

Fact. *A set A of nonzero elements of a group is independent if and only if the subgroup generated by A is a direct sum of the cyclic groups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$.*

The aim of this paper is to prove the following counterpart of the above fact. Its proof is postponed to the end of the next section.

Theorem 1.1. *A set A of nonzero elements of a precompact group is topologically independent if and only if the topological subgroup generated by A is a Tychonoff direct sum of the cyclic topological groups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$.*

Example 3.1 demonstrates, that precompactness can not be replaced by local compactness in Theorem 1.1.

In [5] a result closely related to Theorem 1.1 was obtained. In order to state it, recall that by [5, Definition 3.1] a subset A of a topological group is *absolutely Cauchy summable* provided that for every neighborhood U of 0_G

$$\text{there exists finite } F \subset A \text{ such that } \langle A \setminus F\rangle \subset U. \tag{1}$$

Let us state the promised result (see [5, Theorem 5.1]).

Fact 1.2. *A subset A of nonzero elements of a topological group G is at the same time topologically independent and absolutely Cauchy summable if and only if the topological subgroup generated by A is a Tychonoff direct sum of the cyclic topological groups $\langle a\rangle$, $a \in A$.*

In view of Fact 1.2 we can see that Theorem 1.1 reads as follows: *Every topologically independent set in a precompact group is absolutely Cauchy summable.* Concepts similar to absolute Cauchy summability can be found already in [2]. See also [1, 4, 6, 12, 13, 15] for closely related notions. Let us note, that absolutely Cauchy summable sets can be far away from topologically independent sets even in the realm of compact groups. Indeed, by [5, Remark 5.3], every null sequence in the compact metric group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p-adic integers is absolutely Cauchy summable while every topologically independent subset of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a singleton.

The "only if" part of Fact 1.2 is based on two straightforward results. First one states that absolute Cauchy summability of a set A is equivalent to the continuity of the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A$ ([5, Theorem 3.5]), while the second says that topological independence of a set A implies that the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to \langle A\rangle$ is an open isomorphism ([5, Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.7 (i)]). One may ask, whether the implication in the latter statement can be reversed:

Question 1.3. Let A be a subset of nonzero elements of a topological group G such that the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to \langle A\rangle$ is an open isomorphism. Must A be topologically independent?

Example 3.3 provides a negative answer to this question even in the case, when G is precompact.

2. Precompact group topologies on direct sums

By $\mathbb{S}$ we denote the unit circle $\{e^{it} : t \in \langle -\pi;\pi)\}$ in the complex plain which is a compact group with respect to multiplication of complex numbers.

Given a group G we denote by G' its character group (the group of all homomorphisms from G to $\mathbb{S}$). Elements of G' are called *characters*. If G is a topological group, then the symbol G^* stays for the subgroup of G' consisting of all continuous characters.

Let H be a group of characters on G. We denote by T_H the coarsest group topology on G making all characters of H continuous. Since the collection $\{V_\delta : \delta \in (0;\pi)\}$, where

$$V_\delta = \{e^{it} : t \in (-\delta,\delta)\},$$

is a local base of the topology of $\mathbb{S}$ at the identity, the topology T_H has a local base at 0_G consisting of all the sets of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(\chi_1,\dots,\chi_n;\delta) = \{g \in G : \chi_i(g) \in V_\delta \text{ for all } i = 1,\dots,n\},$$

where $\delta \in (0;\pi)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\chi_1,\dots,\chi_n \in H$. The topology is Hausdorff whenever the characters of H separate points of G.

Let us recall a basic fact about the topology T_H for precompact groups (see [3, Theorem 2.3.2]).

Fact 2.1. *Let G be a topological group. Then the topology of G is precompact if and only if it is equal to T_{G^*} and the characters of G^* separate points of G.*

Definition 2.2. Given a subset A of a group G and a character $\chi \in G'$ we define the *A-support* of χ by the formula

$$supp_A(\chi) = \{a \in A : \chi(a) \neq 1\}.$$

We say that the character χ is *finitely A-supported* provided that $supp_A(\chi)$ is finite.

The following two lemmas are obvious.

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be an infinite subset of a compact group G, and V an open neighborhood of 0_G. Then there are distinct $a, b \in A$ such that $a - b \in V$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a subset of a topological group G and $\mathcal{V}$ a fixed local base at 0_G of the topology of G. Assume that W is a neighborhood of 0_G such that no element of $\mathcal{V}$ is a W-witness of the topological independence of A. Then A is not topologically independent.*

Our next proposition plays the key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a topologically independent subset of a precompact group G. Then each character of G^* is finitely A-supported.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive. In order to do so, let $supp_A(\chi)$ be infinite for some $\chi \in G^*$. Put $W = \mathcal{U}(\chi; \frac{\pi}{2})$ and pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in H$ and $\delta \in (0; \pi)$ arbitrarily. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{U}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n; \delta)$ is not a W-witness of the topological independence of A.

For every $a \in supp_A(\chi)$ we have $\chi(a) \neq 1$. Therefore, we can find $z_a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\chi(z_a a) = \chi(a)^{z_a} \notin V_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Consequently,

$$z_a a \notin W \text{ for all } a \in supp_A(\chi). \tag{2}$$

To finish the proof, it remains to find distinct $a, b \in supp_A(\chi) \subset A$ such that

$$z_a a - z_b b \in \mathcal{U}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n; \delta). \tag{3}$$

Indeed, in this case $\mathcal{U}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n; \delta)$ is not a W-witness of the topological independence of A by (2). If there are distinct $a, b \in supp_A(\chi)$ such that $\chi_i(z_a a) = \chi_i(z_b b)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then (3) holds. Otherwise the set $\{s_a : a \in supp_A(\chi)\}$, where

$$s_a = (\chi_1(z_a a), \dots, \chi_n(z_a a)),$$

is an infinite subset of the compact group $\mathbb{S}^n$. We will write its group operations in an additive notation. The set $V = (\underbrace{V_\delta, \dots, V_\delta}_{n})$ is an open neighborhood of the zero element of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, there are distinct $a, b \in supp_A(\chi)$ such that $s_a - s_b \in V$. Therefore,

$$\chi_i(z_a a - z_b b) \in V_\delta \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This yields (3) and finishes the proof. □

We omit the straightforward proof of the next simple lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let A be a subset of a topological group G and $\mathcal{V}$ a local subbase at 0_G of the topology of G. Then A is absolutely Cauchy summable if and only if (1) holds for every $U \in \mathcal{V}$.*

Proposition 2.7. *Let A be a topologically independent subset of a precompact group G. Then A is absolutely Cauchy summable.*

Proof. By Fact 2.1, the set $\{\mathcal{U}(\chi; \delta) : \chi \in G^*, \delta \in (0; \pi)\}$ is a local subbase at 0_G of the topology of G. Fix arbitrary $\delta \in (0; \pi)$, $\chi \in G^*$, and put $U = \mathcal{U}(\chi; \delta)$. By Lemma 2.6, it suffices to show (1). Put $F = supp_A(\chi)$. Then F is finite by Proposition 2.5. Observe that (1) is satisfied. □

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 1.1:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.7 and Fact 1.2. □

3. Examples and remarks

Example 3.1. *Let A be an arbitrary infinite independent subset of a discrete (in particular, locally compact) group G. Then A is topologically independent but the topological subgroup $\langle A \rangle$ is never a Tychonoff direct sum of cyclic topological subgroups $\langle a \rangle$, $a \in A$.* Indeed, as was noted in the Introduction, independent sets coincide with topologically independent sets in discrete groups. On the other hand a Tychonoff direct sum of infinitely many non-trivial topological groups is always non-discrete while every topological subgroup of a discrete group is discrete.

Remark 3.2. Recall that a topological group is *compactly generated* if it contains a compact subset A such that $\langle A\rangle$ is the whole group. Let us note that the discrete group G from Example 3.1 is not compactly generated as it contains infinite independent subset and consequently each its generating set is infinite and discrete (thus non-compact). We do not know whether there is an infinite topologically independent subset A of a locally compact compactly generated group G such that $\langle A\rangle$ is not a Tychonoff direct sum of the cyclic groups $\langle a\rangle$ $a\in A$. In other words, we do not know whether the word "precompact" can be replaced by "locally compact compactly generated" in Theorem 1.1.

Our next example provides a negative answer to Question 1.3.

Example 3.3. *There exists a subset A of a precompact group such that the Kalton map $\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to \langle A\rangle$ is an open isomorphism, but A is not topologically independent.* To show this, let A be an arbitrary infinite topologically independent subset of a precompact group G and let τ denote the topology of G. Since subgroups of precompact groups are precompact, we may and will assume that $G = \langle A\rangle$. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to (G,\tau) \text{ is an isomorphism and a homeomorphism.} \tag{4}$$

By Fact 2.1, characters of $(G,\tau)^*$ separate points of G. Therefore, for each $a\in A$ we may pick $\chi_a \in (G,\tau)^*$ such that $\chi_a(a)\neq 1$. The set A is independent as it is topologically independent in (G,τ). Hence there is (unique) $\chi\in G'$ such that

$$\chi(a) = \chi_a(a) \neq 1 \text{ for all } a\in A. \tag{5}$$

Consider the subgroup H of G' generated by $(G,\tau)^*\cup\{\chi\}$. Then A as a subset of (G,T_H) is as required.

Indeed, the topology T_H is precompact by Fact 2.1 (it separates points as $(G,\tau)^*$ does). Further, since A is infinite, the character χ is not finitely A-supported by (5). Thus the set A is not topologically independent in (G,T_H) by Proposition 2.5.

Finally, it follows from (5) that each $\langle a\rangle$ has the same subgroup topology in the topology T_H as in τ. Therefore, S_A as the Tychonoff direct sum has the same topology with respect to T_H as well as with respect to τ. Since T_H is finer then τ, it follows from (4) that the Kalton map

$$\mathcal{K}_A : S_A \to (G,T_H)$$

is an open isomorphism.

Call a group G monothetic if it has a dense cyclic subgroup C. Every generator of C is called a *topological generator* of G.

Lemma 3.4. *If g is a topological generator of a topological group G, then the singleton $\{g\}$ is a maximal (with respect to inclusion) topologically independent subset of G.*

Proof. Let g,h be topologically independent elements of G. Since finite sets are absolutely Cauchy summable, Fact 1.2 gives us that $\langle\{g,h\}\rangle$ is the Tychonoff direct sum $\langle g\rangle\bigoplus\langle h\rangle$. Hence $\langle g\rangle$ is not dense in $\langle\{g,h\}\rangle$ and consequently it is not dense in G as well. Thus g is not a topological generator of G. □

Our last and simple example provides a warning showing that unlike in Theorem 1.1, some other properties that hold for independent sets may not be transfered to the realm of topologically independent sets in precompact groups.

Example 3.5. *Obviously, if a,b,c are distinct elements of a group such that the sets $\{a,c\}$ and $\{b,c\}$ are not independent, then also the set $\{a,b\}$ is not independent. On the other hand, there exist a compact topological group G and elements $a,b,c\in G$ such that the sets $\{a,c\}$ and $\{b,c\}$ are not topologically independent but the set $\{a,b\}$ is topologically independent. Moreover, a,b,c may be picked non-torsion.*

Put $G=\mathbb{S}$ and let $c\in\mathbb{S}$ be non-torsion. A folklore fact says that c is a topological generator of $\mathbb{S}$. Let $a,b\in\mathbb{S}$ be torsion elements of distinct prime orders. Then the set $\{a,b\}$ is topologically independent while the sets $\{a,c\}$ and $\{b,c\}$ are not topologically independent by Lemma 3.4.

In order to get a,b,c non-torsion we may proceed differently: Put $G=\mathbb{S}^2$, and $a=[g,1], b=[1,g]$, where $g\in\mathbb{S}$ is non-torsion. The set $\{a,b\}$ is topologically independent by Fact 1.2. It is a folklore fact, that $\mathbb{S}^2$ is monothetic. Let $c\in\mathbb{S}^2$ be its topological generator. Then the sets $\{a,c\}$ and $\{b,c\}$ are not topologically independent by Lemma 3.4.

References

[1] C. Bessaga, A. Pelczynski, S. Rolewicz, *Some properties of the space* (s) , Colloq. Math. 7 (1959) 45-51.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie Générale: Chapitres 5 à 10, Éléments de Mathématique*, Hermann, Paris, 1974.

[3] D. Dikranjan, Iv. Prodanov and L. Stoyanov, *Topological Groups: Characters, Dualities and Minimal Group Topologies*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **130**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York-Basel (1989).

[4] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov and J. Spěvák, *Productivity of sequences with respect to a given weight function*, Topol. Appl. 158 (2011), 298-324.

[5] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov and J. Spěvák, *Direct sums and products in topological groups and vector spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437 (2016) 1257-1282.

[6] X. Dominguez, V. Tarieladze, *Metrizable TAP, HTAP and STAP groups*, Topol. Appl. 159 (2012), 2338-2352.

[7] P. Erdös, E. G. Straus, *On linear independence of sequences in a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 689–694.

[8] I. Labuda, Z. Lipecki, *On subseries convergent series and m-quasi-bases in topological linear spaces*, Manuscripta Math. 38 (1982), 87–98.

[9] Z. Lipecki, *On independent sequences in topological linear spaces*, Colloq. Math. 53 (1987), 93–96.

[10] Z. Lipecki, *Remarks on independent sequences and dimension in topological linear spaces*, Collect. Math. 49 (1) (1998) 53–65.

[11] M. Nagao, D. Shakhmatov, *On the existence of kings in continuous tournaments*, Topol. Appl. 159 (2012) 3089–3096.

[12] J. Spěvák, *Productivity of sequences in non-abelian topological groups*, Topol. Appl. 191 (2015), 163–177.

[13] J. Spěvák, *A note on multiplier convergent series*, Topol. Appl. 211 (2016), 28–37.

[14] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces, I*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[15] C. Swartz, *Multiplier Convergent Series*, World Scientific Pub. Co. Inc. (2008).

(Jan Spěvák) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, J. E. Purkyne University, České mládeže 8, 400 96 Ústí nad Labem, Czech Republic

E-mail address: jan.spevak@ujep.cz